

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

United States of America)	
v.)	
WILLIAM S. SMITH)	Case No: <u>2:05cr712-001</u>
)	USM No: <u>26230-050</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>01/10/2006</u>)	<u>Michael Koribanics, Esq.</u>
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____	Amended Offense Level: _____
Criminal History Category: _____	Criminal History Category: _____
Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months	Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE


- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 09/08/2008


Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Dennis M. Cavanaugh, U.S.D.J.

Printed name and title